

Ethics and Malpractice Statement

Editor's Duties:

- Fair Play and Editorial Independence: This website's editors have a duty to evaluate submitted manuscripts exclusively on the basis of their academic merit and their relevance to the journal's scope, without regard to the race, gender, ethnicity, sexual orientation, religious beliefs, etc. of the author. The decision to edit and publish shall not be determined by the policies of governments or any outside agencies, but shall be made by the journal itself. The Editor-in-Chief is granted full authority over the editorial content of the journal, as well as the timing of publication of the articles.
- Confidentiality: No editor or member of the editorial staff may disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anybody except the corresponding author, the reviewers, other editorial advisers, and the publisher.
- Disclosure and Conflicts of Interest: Editors and editorial board members may not use any unpublished information disclosed in a submitted manuscript for their own research without the authors' express written consent. Any privileged information obtained by editors by handling the manuscript must be kept strictly confidential and may not be used for the personal advantage of the editors. Editors must recuse themselves from considering manuscripts in which they have a conflict of interest stemming from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships with any of the authors, companies, or institutions responsible for the papers. Alternatively, the editors must ask another member of the editorial board to handle the manuscript.
- Publication Decisions: Editors for this website must ensure that all submitted manuscripts undergo peer-review by at least two reviewers who are experts in the relevant field. The Editor-in-Chief has the duty to decide which of the submitted manuscripts will be published, based on the validation of the work in question, its importance to researchers as well as readers, comments made by the reviewers, and any relevant legal requirements concerning libel, copyright infringement and plagiarism. The Editor-in-chief may confer with other editors or reviewers in deciding whether to publish the article.
- Involvement and Cooperation in Investigations: All editors for our website must be responsive to situations where ethical concerns are raised concerning a submitted manuscript or published paper. Each and every reported act of unethical publishing behavior must be investigated, even if it is discovered years after publication. Our website's editors follow the COPE Flowcharts when dealing with investigations of

misconduct. If, on investigation, the ethical concern is found to be valid, a correction, retraction, expression of concern, etc. will be published in the journal.

Duties of Reviewers:

- Contribution to editorial decisions: Peer review is useful to editors in making editorial decisions and may assist authors in improving their manuscripts through communication with the editors. Peer Review is essential to formal scholarly communication and is a key component of scientific work. We believe that all scholars who want to contribute to the scientific process must do a great deal of reviewing.
- Promptness: Any reviewer who does not feel qualified to review the research in a manuscript or knows that its prompt review will not be possible must inform the editors immediately and decline the invitation to review so that alternative reviewers may be found.
- Confidentiality: Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents; they must not be shown to or discussed with others except those specifically authorized by the Editor-in-Chief. This duty also applies to invited reviewers who decline the review invitation.
- Standards of Objectivity: All reviews must be conducted as objectively as possible and observations must be clearly stated with supporting arguments so that the authors may use them in making revisions to the manuscript. Any personal criticism of the authors is expressly forbidden.
- Acknowledgement of Sources: Reviewers must identify and published work that is not cited by the authors. Any statement that is an observation, derivation or argument that has been previously reported in other publications should be accompanied by a citation. A reviewer must notify the editors of any similarity to or overlap with the manuscript under review or any other published or unpublished manuscript that they are aware of.
- Disclosure and Conflicts of Interest: Any invited referee who has a conflict of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships with any authors, companies, or institutions connected to the manuscript must immediately notify the editors of the conflict of interest and decline the invitation to review so that other reviewers may be contacted.

Unpublished material disclosed in a submitted manuscript cannot be used in a reviewer's own research without the express written consent of the authors. Any privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for the reviewer's personal advantage. This applies to invited reviewers who do not accept the review invitation.

Duties of Authors:

- Reporting Standards: Authors of original research must present an accurate account of any work performed and the results, as well as a statement of the significance of the work. The manuscript must be sufficiently detailed and contain sufficient references to allow others to replicate the work. Review articles must be accurate, objective, and comprehensive, while any "opinion" or perspective pieces must be clearly identified. Any fraudulent or knowingly false statements are considered to be unethical behavior and unacceptable for this website.
- Data Access and Retention: Authors may be required to provide the raw data of their study along with the manuscript for editorial review and should be prepared to publicly share the data if practicable. Authors must ensure the accessibility of such data to other professionals for at least 10 years after publication, by an institutional or subject-based data repository or other data center, provided that the participants may retain their confidential information and the legal rights regarding proprietary data do not prevent the release of the data.
- Originality and Plagiarism: Authors must ensure that they have written and submitted only entirely original works, and that appropriate citations are used for the work/words of others. Publications that were influential in determining the nature of the work in the manuscript should also be cited. Plagiarism, whether passing off someone else's paper as the author's own, or copying/paraphrasing substantial parts of another's paper without attribution, or claiming results from research conducted by others, is unethical publishing behavior and is not tolerated by this website.
- Multiple, Duplicate, Redundant, or Concurrent Submission/Publication: Papers that describe essentially the same research should not be published in more than one journal. To that end, authors should not submit to this website a manuscript that has already been published in another journal. Submission of a manuscript to more than one journal is considered unethical publishing behavior and not allowed by this website.

However, some kinds of articles may be published in more than one journal if certain conditions are met. The authors and editors of the relevant journals must agree to the secondary publication, and the secondary publication must reflect the same data and interpretation of the primary document. In addition, the secondary publication must cite the primary reference.

- Authorship of the Manuscript: Only those who meet the following authorship criteria may be listed as authors of the manuscript, as they must take public responsibility for the content:
 - (a). Made significant contributions to the conception, design, execution, data acquisition, or analysis/interpretation of the study;
 - (b). Drafted the manuscript or revised it critically for important content; and
 - (c). Have seen and approved the final version of the paper and agreed to submit it for publication.

Everyone who made substantial contributions to the work reported in the manuscript, such as technical help, writing/editing assistance, and general support, but who do not meet the authorship criteria should be acknowledged in the “Acknowledgements” section with their written permission to be named. The author must ensure that all co-authors are included in the author list and must verify that all co-authors have seen and approved the final version of the manuscript and agreed to its publication.

- Disclosure and Conflicts of Interest: Authors should disclose any conflicts of interest that might be construed to influence the results of the manuscript as soon as possible. Examples of such potential conflict of interest include financial conflicts such as honoraria, educational grants or other funding, participation in speakers’ bureaus, membership, employment, consultancies, stock ownership, and paid expert testimony, as well as non-financial conflicts such as personal/professional relationships, affiliations, knowledge or beliefs in the subject matter or the materials mentioned in the manuscript. All sources of financial support for the work should be disclosed.
- Acknowledgement of Sources: Authors must ensure that they have properly acknowledged the work of others, and must cite any publications that have been influential in determining the nature of the reported work. Privately obtained information must not be used without explicit written consent from the source. Authors should not use information obtained in the course of providing confidential services, including refereeing manuscripts or grant applications, without the explicit written consent of the authors of the work involved in the confidential services.

- Hazards and Human/Animal Subjects: If the work involves chemicals, procedures or equipment that have any unusual dangers inherent in their use, these must be clearly identified in the manuscript by the authors. If the work involves the use of animals or human participants, the authors should ensure that all procedures were performed in compliance with the relevant laws and institutional guidelines and that they were approved by the relevant institutional committees, and the manuscript should contain a clear statement of this fact. Authors should also include a statement that the human participants in the study gave informed consent. Finally, the human participant's right to privacy must always be respected.
- Peer Review: Authors have an obligation to participate in the peer review process and to respond in a timely manner to editors' requests for raw data, clarifications, and proof of ethics approval, patient consents, and copyright permissions. In the case of a first decision that revisions are needed, authors must respond to the reviewers' comments promptly, thoroughly, and systematically, and must revise and re-submit their manuscript by the given deadline.
- Fundamental Errors in Published Works: When authors discover significant errors or inaccuracies in their own published work, they must immediately notify the journal's editors or publisher and cooperate with them to correct the paper by publishing an erratum or to retract the paper altogether. If the editors or publisher learn from a third party that a published work contains a significant error or inaccuracy, then it is the responsibility of the authors to correct or retract the paper or to provide evidence of the paper's accuracy.

Duties of the Publisher:

- Handling of Unethical Publishing Behavior: The publisher will take all necessary measures to rectify instances of alleged or proven scientific misconduct, plagiarism, or fraudulent publication, and to amend the article. This includes publishing an erratum or clarification, or the retraction of the relevant work entirely. The publisher will work with the editors to identify and prevent the publication of papers where research misconduct has happened, and will not under any circumstances encourage or knowingly allow such misconduct.
- Access to Journal Content: The publisher is committed to make scholarly research permanently available and preserved and to ensure accessibility by collaborating with organizations and maintaining the digital archive of this website.